

**BEFORE THE
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF
SOUTH CAROLINA
DOCKET NO. 2018-3-E**

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| In the Matter of |) | DIRECT TESTIMONY OF |
| Annual Review of Base Rates |) | STEVEN D. CAPPS FOR |
| for Fuel Costs for |) | DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC |
| Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC |) | |

1 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

2 A. My name is Steven D. Capps and my business address is 526 South Church Street,
3 Charlotte, North Carolina.

4 **Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?**

5 A. I am Senior Vice President of Nuclear Corporate for Duke Energy Corporation
6 ("Duke Energy" or "Duke") with direct executive accountability for Duke Energy's
7 nuclear corporate functions, including nuclear corporate engineering, nuclear major
8 projects, corporate governance and operation support and organizational
9 effectiveness.

10 **Q. WHAT ARE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES AS SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT**
11 **OF NUCLEAR CORPORATE?**

12 A. As Senior Vice President of Nuclear Corporate, I am responsible for providing
13 executive oversight of nuclear corporate support functions, ensuring these functions
14 support the safe and reliable operation of Duke Energy's six operating nuclear
15 stations. As a member of the senior leadership of Duke's Nuclear Generation
16 Department, I am also involved in the operations of Duke's six operating nuclear
17 stations, including Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's ("DEC" or the "Company")
18 Catawba Nuclear Station ("Catawba") in York County, South Carolina; McGuire
19 Nuclear Station ("McGuire") in Mecklenburg County, North Carolina; and Oconee
20 Nuclear Station ("Oconee") in Oconee County, South Carolina.

21 **Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND**
22 **PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE.**

1 A. I have more than 31 years of experience in the nuclear field. I joined Duke Energy
2 in 1987 as a field engineer at Oconee. During my time at Oconee, I served in a
3 variety of leadership positions at the station, including Senior Reactor Operator,
4 Shift Technical Advisor, and Mechanical and Civil Engineering Manager. In 2008, I
5 transitioned to McGuire as the Engineering Manager. I later became plant manager
6 and was named Vice President of McGuire in 2012. I assumed my current position
7 in December 2017. I earned a B.S. in Mechanical Engineering from Clemson
8 University.

9 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS**
10 **PROCEEDING?**

11 A. The purpose of my testimony is to describe and discuss the performance of DEC's
12 nuclear fleet during the period of June 1, 2017 through May 31, 2018 (the "review
13 period").

14 **Q. YOUR TESTIMONY INCLUDES THREE EXHIBITS. WERE THESE**
15 **EXHIBITS PREPARED BY YOU OR AT YOUR DIRECTION AND UNDER**
16 **YOUR SUPERVISION?**

17 A. Yes. These exhibits were prepared at my direction and under my supervision.

18 **Q. PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF THE EXHIBITS.**

19 A. The exhibits and descriptions are as follows:

20 Capps Exhibit 1 - Calculation of the nuclear capacity factor for the
21 review period pursuant to S.C. Code § 58-27-865

22 Capps Exhibit 2 - Nuclear outage data for the review period

1 Capps Exhibit 3 - Nuclear outage data through the billing period ¹

2 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE DEC'S NUCLEAR GENERATION PORTFOLIO.**

3 A. The Company's nuclear generation portfolio consists of approximately 5,389²
4 megawatts ("MWs") of generating capacity, made up as follows:

5 Oconee - 2,554 MWs

6 McGuire - 2,316 MWs

7 Catawba - 519 MWs³

8 **Q. PLEASE PROVIDE A GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF DEC'S NUCLEAR**
9 **GENERATION ASSETS.**

10 A. DEC's nuclear fleet consists of three generating stations and a total of seven units.
11 Oconee began commercial operation in 1973 and was the first nuclear station
12 designed, built, and operated by DEC. It has the distinction of being the second
13 nuclear station in the country to have its license, originally issued for 40 years,
14 renewed for up to an additional 20 years by the NRC. The license renewal, which
15 was obtained in 2000, extends operations to 2033, 2033, and 2034 for Oconee Units
16 1, 2, and 3 respectively.

17 McGuire began commercial operation in 1981 and Catawba began
18 commercial operation in 1985. In 2003, the NRC renewed the licenses for McGuire
19 and Catawba for up to an additional 20 years each. This renewal extends operations
20 until 2041 for McGuire Unit 1, and 2043 for McGuire Unit 2 and Catawba Units 1
21 and 2. The Company jointly owns Catawba with North Carolina Municipal Power

¹ This data is provided in confidential and publicly redacted versions for security purposes.

² Based on Net Maximum Dependable Capacity as of January 1, 2018.

³ Reflects DEC's 19.2 percent ownership of Catawba Nuclear Station.

1 Agency Number One, North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation, and
2 Piedmont Municipal Power Agency.

3 **Q. WHAT ARE DEC'S OBJECTIVES IN THE OPERATION OF ITS**
4 **NUCLEAR GENERATION ASSETS?**

5 A. The primary objective of DEC's nuclear generation department is to safely provide
6 reliable and cost-effective electricity to DEC's Carolinas customers. The Company
7 achieves this objective by focusing on a number of key areas. Operations personnel
8 and other station employees are well-trained and execute their responsibilities to the
9 highest standards in accordance with detailed procedures. The Company maintains
10 station equipment and systems reliably, and ensures timely implementation of work
11 plans and projects that enhance the performance of systems, equipment, and
12 personnel. Station refueling and maintenance outages are conducted through the
13 execution of well-planned, well-executed, and high quality work activities, which
14 effectively ready the plant for operation until the next planned outage.

15 **Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE PERFORMANCE OF DEC'S NUCLEAR FLEET**
16 **DURING THE REVIEW PERIOD.**

17 A. The Company operated its nuclear stations in a reasonable and prudent manner
18 during the review period, providing 61 percent of the total energy generated by
19 DEC. The seven nuclear units operated at an actual system average capacity factor
20 of 96.74 percent for the review period which included four refueling outages.

21 As shown on Capps Exhibit 1, DEC achieved a net nuclear capacity factor,
22 excluding reasonable outage time, of 101.53 percent for the review period. This

1 capacity factor is above the 92.5 percent set forth in S.C. Code § 58-27-865(F),
2 which states in pertinent part:

3 There shall be a rebuttable presumption that an electrical utility made
4 every reasonable effort to minimize cost associated with the
5 operation of its nuclear generation facility or system, as applicable, if
6 the utility achieved a net capacity factor of ninety-two and one-half
7 percent or higher during the period under review. The calculation of
8 the net capacity factor shall exclude reasonable outage time
9 associated with reasonable refueling, reasonable maintenance,
10 reasonable repair, and reasonable equipment replacement outages;
11 the reasonable reduced power generation experienced by nuclear
12 units as they approach a refueling outage; the reasonable reduced
13 power generation experienced by nuclear units associated with
14 bringing a unit back to full power after an outage....
15

16 The performance results discussed above support DEC's continued
17 commitment for achieving high performance without compromising safety and
18 reliability.

19 **Q. HOW DOES DEC'S NUCLEAR FLEET COMPARE TO INDUSTRY**
20 **AVERAGES?**

21 A. The Company's nuclear fleet has a history of top quartile performance. Industry
22 data for 2017 ranked Duke Energy's nuclear fleet favorably when compared to the
23 seven other large domestic nuclear fleets using Key Performance Indicators
24 ("KPIs") in the areas of personal safety, radiological dose, manual and automatic
25 shutdowns, capacity factor, forced loss rate, industry performance index, and total
26 operating cost. The Duke fleet ranked in first place in the overall composite ranking
27 of the 7 industry key performance metrics, and placed in first position in total
28 operating cost and second place in capacity factor. On a larger industry basis using
29 data for 2017 from Electric Utility Cost Group, Catawba, McGuire, and Oconee all
30 achieved top quartile total cost performance during 2017. Oconee and Catawba

1 ranked in 5th and 6th place, and McGuire placed in 12th position among the 60 U.S.
2 operating plants. Industry benchmarking efforts and industry excellence initiatives
3 are the principal technique used by the Company to ensure best practices. These
4 efforts further ensure overall prudence, safety, and reliability of DEC's nuclear units.

5 Additionally, for 18 consecutive years DEC's nuclear plants have surpassed
6 a 90 percent annual capacity factor threshold. As a result of this strong operational
7 performance, the Company has produced approximately 36 million MWHs of
8 additional generation, which is equivalent to an additional 7.5 months of output
9 (based on DEC's average annual generation for the same 18-year period). These
10 performance results support DEC's continued commitment to achieving high
11 performance without compromising safety and reliability.

12 **Q. WHAT IMPACTS A UNIT'S AVAILABILITY AND WHAT IS DEC'S**
13 **PHILOSOPHY FOR SCHEDULING REFUELING AND MAINTENANCE**
14 **OUTAGES?**

15 A. In general, refueling requirements, maintenance requirements, prudent maintenance
16 practices, and NRC operating requirements impact the availability of DEC's nuclear
17 system. Prior to a planned outage, DEC develops a detailed schedule for the outage
18 and for major tasks to be performed including sub-schedules for particular activities.

19 The Company's scheduling philosophy is to plan for a best possible outcome
20 for each outage activity within the outage plan. For example, if the "best ever" time
21 an outage task was performed is 10 days, then 10 days or less becomes the goal for
22 that task in each subsequent outage. Those individual goals are incorporated into an
23 overall outage schedule. The Company aggressively works to meet, and measures

1 itself against, that schedule. Further, to minimize potential impacts to outage
2 schedules, “discovery activities” (walk-downs, inspections, etc.) are scheduled at the
3 earliest opportunities so that any maintenance or repairs identified through those
4 activities can be promptly incorporated into the outage plan.

5 As noted, the schedule is utilized for measuring outage planning and
6 execution, and driving continuous improvement efforts. However, in order to
7 provide reasonable, rather than best ever, total outage time for planning purposes,
8 particularly with the dispatch and system operating center functions, DEC also
9 develops an allocation of outage time which incorporates unforeseen schedule
10 delays that may be needed for unplanned equipment repairs found during
11 inspections. The development of each outage allocation is dependent on
12 maintenance and repair activities included in the outage, as well as major projects to
13 be implemented during the outage. Both schedule and allocation are set
14 aggressively to drive continuous improvement in outage planning and execution.

15 **Q. HOW DOES DEC HANDLE OUTAGE EXTENSIONS AND FORCED**
16 **OUTAGES?**

17 A. When an outage extension becomes necessary, DEC expects that work completed in
18 the extension results in longer continuous run times and fewer forced outages,
19 thereby reducing overall fuel costs in the long run. Therefore, if an unanticipated
20 issue that has the potential to become an on-line reliability issue is discovered while
21 a unit is off-line for a scheduled outage and repair cannot be completed within the
22 planned work window, the outage may be extended for the minimum time needed to
23 perform necessary maintenance or repairs prior to returning the unit to service. In

1 the event that a unit is forced off-line, every effort is made to perform the repair and
2 return the unit to service as quickly as possible.

3 **Q. DOES DEC PERFORM POST-OUTAGE CRITIQUES AND CAUSE**
4 **ANALYSES FOR INTERNAL IMPROVEMENT EFFORTS?**

5 A. Yes. The nuclear industry recognizes that constant focus on operational excellence
6 results in improved nuclear safety and reliability. As such, DEC applies self-critical
7 analysis to each outage to identify every potential cause of an outage delay or event
8 resulting in a forced or extended outage. These critiques evaluate the performance
9 of each function and discipline involved in both outage planning and execution.
10 Lessons learned are applied to drive continuous improvement. These critiques and
11 cause analyses do not document the broader context of the outage or event, and thus
12 rarely reflect strengths and successes.

13 **Q. WHAT IS THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE STANDARDS THAT**
14 **THE COMPANY APPLIES IN ITS POST OUTAGE CRITIQUES AND THE**
15 **“EVERY REASONABLE EFFORT” STANDARD OF SECTION 58-27-865?**

16 In our outage evaluations we are looking closely for any opportunity for
17 improvement. We are not assessing the “reasonableness” of any conduct or actions
18 that might have contributed to the outage. Reasonableness focuses on what was
19 done in light of what was known prior to the event; in our outage evaluations we are
20 focused on learning and applying new lessons from our experiences in order to
21 improve our operations.

22 **Q. WHAT OUTAGES WERE REQUIRED FOR REFUELING AT DEC’S**
23 **NUCLEAR FACILITIES DURING THE REVIEW PERIOD?**

1 A. There were four refueling outages during the review period; fall 2017 outages at
2 McGuire Unit 1 and Oconee Unit 2, followed by spring 2018 outages at Catawba
3 Unit 2 and Oconee Unit 3. All four refueling outages were completed within the
4 scheduled allocation.

5 The McGuire Unit 1 refueling outage began on September 23, 2017 and
6 concluded on October 16, 2017 for duration of 23.5 days compared to a schedule
7 allocation of 26 days. O&M cost for the outage totaled \$29.32M compared to a
8 budget of \$32.8M. Major component replacements included the 1D reactor coolant
9 pump motor, the 1A1 component cooling motor, the 1A main step-up transformer,
10 the 1A MG Set motor and the 1B reactor coolant drain tank pump. The 1B
11 emergency diesel generator voltage regulator was replaced and the emergency
12 support power supply diesel generator tie in was completed. In addition to major
13 replacements, rebuilds were completed on the 1B1 and 1B2 feedwater oil pumps, the
14 1G1 and 1G2 heater drain tank pumps, and the 1A1 component cooling pump.
15 Steam Generator work accomplished included 50% u-tube Eddy Current testing and
16 secondary sludge lancing on all four steam generators. Fifty-nine secondary Flow
17 Accelerated Corrosion (“FAC”) and 205 In-service Inspections (“ISI”) were
18 completed before the unit was returned to service.

19 On October 27, 2017, Oconee Unit 2 entered a refueling outage lasting 29.82
20 days compared to a schedule allocation of 32 days. Total O&M outage cost was
21 \$28.2M compared to a budget of \$30M. In addition to refueling activities, major
22 components, including the 2A1 and 2A2 feedwater heaters, 2A2 reactor coolant
23 pump internal assembly, and power circuit breaker 27 were replaced. The Amertap

1 condenser tube cleaning system was replaced with an upgraded system improving
2 reliability and efficiency of the condenser. Main power relaying modifications
3 installed new protective relaying for the main and auxiliary transformers and the
4 main generator. Testing and inspection activities included a “rotor out” inspection
5 of the main generator and Steam Generator Eddy Current testing. After refueling,
6 maintenance, testing, and inspections completed, the unit was returned to service on
7 November 26, 2017.

8 Catawba Unit 2 was removed from the grid on March 17, 2018 for refueling.
9 In addition to refueling activities, safety and reliability enhancements were
10 completed. Major pump and motor work included the replacement of the 2B residual
11 heat removal pump and motor, 2B2 component cooling pump and motor, 2B hotwell
12 pump, and the 2A stator cooling pump. Other pump related work included the 2A
13 condensate booster pump seal and motor replacement, and replacement of the 2A
14 reactor coolant pump seal. Electrical work included modification of the 2A
15 emergency diesel generator (“EDG”) governor and the rebuild of the 2B EDG
16 battery charger. Unit 2 emergency supplemental power supply switchgear tie-ins
17 were completed. Rod control cable and connector replacements were completed and
18 the distributed control system was upgraded. After refueling and maintenance
19 activities were successfully completed, the unit returned to service on April 14,
20 2018. The total outage was completed in 27.9 days compared to a 30 day allocation
21 for an O&M cost of \$27.6M verses a budget of \$28M. Following the completion of
22 the outage, the unit was briefly removed from the grid (6.2 hours) to complete
23 planned turbine overspeed testing.

Oconee Unit 3 was taken offline on April 20, 2018 to begin refueling. In addition to refueling, general maintenance and safety and reliability enhancements were completed. Eddy Current testing was completed on both steam generators. Twenty-seven tubes were plugged on the 3A steam generator and nine were plugged on the 3B steam generator. Preventive maintenance was completed on the 3C low pressure turbine rotor and the 3A2 high pressure injection line thermal sleeve was replaced. Electrical maintenance included the replacement of 3 high side bushings on the main step-up transformer, and installation of new protective relaying on the main transformer, auxiliary transformer, and the generator. Switchyard Power Circuit Breaker ("PCB") 30 and 102 molded case breakers were replaced. After refueling, testing, and maintenance activities were completed, the Unit returned to service on May 19, 2018. The outage duration was 28.24 days compared to a schedule allocation of 29 days at a total O&M cost of \$29.9M compared to an original budget of \$28.9M. The O&M overrun was primarily attributable to emergent work activities associated with the repair of the 3C Low Pressure Turbine.

Q. OTHER THAN REFUELING, WHAT OUTAGES OCCURRED AT DEC'S NUCLEAR FACILITIES DURING THE REVIEW PERIOD?

There were three short forced outages across the fleet during the review period. Oconee 3 was offline for just over 29 hours in July 2017 due to a main generator lockout, McGuire Unit 1 was offline for 30 hours in February 2018 when the reactor tripped during testing, and Oconee Unit 1 was offline for 39 hours during April 2018 to repair an electrical connector on the Unit's control rod drive system.

1 **Q. DO YOU BELIEVE ANY OF THE THREE FORCED OUTAGES WERE**
2 **CAUSED BY A FAILURE BY THE COMPANY TO MAKE REASONABLE**
3 **EFFORTS TO MINIMIZE FUEL COSTS?**

4 **A.** No, the three forced outages were not caused by a failure by the Company to make
5 reasonable efforts to minimize fuel costs. Based on my review of the operations of
6 the McGuire and Oconee units during the review period, I believe that the units were
7 operated reasonable and prudently, and that our operations were conducted in a way
8 that minimized our fuel costs. Plant personnel responded appropriately and as
9 trained during each event, and the units were safely and efficiently returned to
10 service. As stated earlier, the DEC nuclear plants achieved a combined
11 capacity factor of 96.74% during the review period, with four of the seven units
12 completing refueling outages during the period.

13 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY?**

14 **A.** Yes, it does.

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC
SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL REVIEW OF BASE RATES FOR FUEL COSTS
NUCLEAR CAPACITY FACTOR PURSUANT TO S.C. CODE ANN. § 58-27-865(F)
REVIEW PERIOD OF JUNE 2017 THROUGH MAY 2018

| | | | |
|---|---|---------------|-----|
| 1 | Nuclear System Actual Net Generation During Review Period | 60,845,581 | MWH |
| 2 | Total Number of Hours during Review Period | 8,760 | |
| 3 | Nuclear System MDC during Review Period | 7,180 | MW |
| 4 | Reasonable Nuclear System Reductions | 2,970,500 | MWH |
| 5 | Nuclear System Capacity Factor $L1/((L2*L3)-L4)*100$ | <u>101.53</u> | % |

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC
SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL REVIEW OF BASE RATES FOR FUEL COSTS
NUCLEAR OUTAGE DATA FOR REVIEW PERIOD OF
June 2017 THROUGH MAY 2018

Nuclear outages during the Review Period

| Station/Unit | Date of Outage | Reason for Outage |
|--------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Oconee 3 | 7/24/2017 - 7/25/2017 | Forced Maintenance Outage |
| McGuire 1 | 9/23/2017 - 10/16/2017 | Scheduled Refueling - EOC 25 |
| Oconee 2 | 10/27/2017 - 11/26/2017 | Scheduled Refueling - EOC 28 |
| McGuire 1 | 2/16/2018 - 2/17/2018 | Forced Maintenance Outage |
| Catawba 2 | 3/17/2018 - 4/14/2018 | Scheduled Refueling - EOC 22 |
| Catawba 2 | 4/14/2018 - 4/14/2018 ¹ | Scheduled Maintenance / Testing |
| Oconee 1 | 4/13/2018 - 4/14/2018 | Forced Maintenance Outage |
| Oconee 3 | 4/20/2018 - 5/19/2018 | Scheduled Refueling - EOC 29 |

¹ Following completion of refueling outage, Unit briefly disconnected from grid to complete turbine overspeed testing

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC
SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL REVIEW OF BASE RATES FOR FUEL COSTS
NUCLEAR OUTAGE SCHEDULE THROUGH BILLING PERIOD

Scheduled nuclear outages lasting one week or more through the Billing Period

| Station/Unit | Date of Outage ¹ | Reason for Outage |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
|--------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|

REDACTED

¹ This exhibit represents DEC's current plan, which is subject to change based on fluctuations in operational and maintenance requirements.